CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with

the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

(Date)

aiser, Reg.

200

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

Please Direct All Correspondence to Customer Number 30,313

NOV 0 7 2006

ISSUE FEE TRANSMITTAL LETTER

pplicant

Goddard, et al.

App. No

10/063,532

Filed

May 2, 2002

For

A POLYPEPTIDE ENCODED BY A

NUCLEIC ACID OVEREXPRESSED

IN KIDNEY TUMOR AND UNDEREXPRESSED IN LUNG

TUMOR

Art Unit

1647

Class/Sub-Class

530/3000000

Examiner

Seharaseyon, Jegatheesan

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing is the Issue Fee for the above-identified application:

- Form PTOL-85. (X)
- A check in the amount of \$1730 is enclosed for the following fees: (X)
 - (X) \$1400 Issue Fee
 - \$300 Publication Fee (X)
 - (X) \$30 Advance Order of 10 Copies
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.
- (X) Comments on Statement of Reason for Allowance in 3 pages.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 11-1410.

AnneMarie Kaiser

Registration No. 37,649 Attorney of Record

Customer No. 30,313 (619) 235-8550

3066559 dmn 103006

PATENT

GNE.3230R1C22

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pplicant : Goddard, et al.

Appl. No. : 10/063,532

Filed : May 2, 2002

For : A POLYPEPTIDE ENCODED BY

A NUCLEIC ACID

OVEREXPRESSED IN KIDNEY

TUMOR AND

UNDEREXPRESSED IN LUNG

TUMOR

Examiner : Saharaseyon, Jegatheesan

Group Art Unit : 1647

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

mellani

Reg. No. 37

nneMarie Kaiser,

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants received a Notice of Allowability and Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance mailed from the USPTO on September 19, 2006. Applicants submit the following Comments on the Examiner's Statement.

The Examiner's Statement includes the following:

Applicant relies on more than 130 references (see IDS filed 04/10/06), where expression levels of mRNA, measured by quantitative PCR, were found to have a good correlation to the expressed protein levels. ... While the PTO found several references in which protein expression levels did not correlate with mRNA levels measured by quantitative PCR, the majority of the references which were found, including those cited by Applicant, demonstrated a correlation between mRNA levels measured by quantitative PCR and protein expression levels. Applicant asserts that the expression levels of protein correlate to mRNA (cDNA) levels when the cDNA is measured by quantitative PCR (i.e. RTPCR). Applicant has provided more than 130 references in support of this position. *Notice of Allowability* at 4 (emphasis added, citation omitted).

Appl. No. : 10/063,532 Filed : May 2, 2002

ļ

Applicants have submitted as exhibits numerous references in support of their assertion that, generally speaking, differential mRNA expression levels (e.g., tumor tissue vs. normal tissue) result in similar differential expression levels of the encoded protein. In addition, Applicants have submitted several Information Disclosure Statements listing numerous additional references, including those submitted as exhibits. Applicants have not represented to the PTO that all of the references submitted to the PTO in an IDS support Applicants' assertions.

Applicants have also argued that statements by the authors of Hu *et al.* (J. Proteome Res. 2003; 2(4):405-12), and LaBaer (Nature Biotech. 2003; 21:976-977), regarding the significance of differential mRNA expression detected by mRNA microarrays are not relevant to the data relied on by Applicants. Applicants' data in Example 18 of the instant application are based on RT-PCR, which is recognized by those of skill in the art as more sensitive and reliable than mRNA microarrays, and therefore the opinions of Hu and LaBaer regarding the significance of differential mRNA data from microarrays is not relevant to the instant application. Applicants' have not argued that microarray data are not reliable, or that all of the supporting references relied on by the Applicants' used quantitative RT-PCR to measure mRNA levels.

Finally, Applicants note for the record that they do not necessarily agree with the PTO's characterization of the references cited in the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance. Applicants do agree with the PTO's conclusion that "[b]ased on the totality of evidence of record, one of skill in the art would find it more likely than not that an increase in message as measured by RTPCR would be predictive of an increase in protein expression levels, absent evidence to the contrary."

Appl. No.

10/063,532

Filed

May 2, 2002

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: VV 3

Anne Marie Kaiser

Registration No. 37,649

Attorney of Record Customer No. 30,313

(619) 235-8550

3081145 dmn 110206